

# Algebraic Structures 3

## 2. Categories and functors

### 2.1. Definitions

**2.1.1.** Definition. A category  $\mathcal{Q}$  consists of objects  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$  and morphisms between objects: for every  $Q, R \in \mathcal{Q}$  there is a set  $\text{Mor}(R, S)$  which is called the set of morphisms from  $R$  to  $S$ ; for every three objects  $Q, R, S$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  there is a composition of morphisms, i.e. a map

$$\text{Mor}(R, S) \times \text{Mor}(Q, R) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(Q, S), \quad (f, g) \rightarrow f \circ g.$$

The following axioms should be satisfied:

- (1) The intersection of  $\text{Mor}(Q, R)$  and  $\text{Mor}(Q', R')$  is empty unless  $Q = Q'$  and  $R = R'$ .
- (2) For every  $Q$  of  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$  there is a morphism  $\text{id}_Q \in \text{Mor}(Q, Q)$  such that for every  $R$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$  and every  $h \in \text{Mor}(R, Q)$ ,  $g \in \text{Mor}(Q, R)$

$$\text{id}_Q \circ h = h, g \circ \text{id}_Q = g.$$

(unit axiom)

- (3) For every  $Q, R, S, T$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$  and every  $f \in \text{Mor}(Q, R)$ ,  $g \in \text{Mor}(R, S)$ ,  $h \in \text{Mor}(S, T)$

$$h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$$

(associativity of the composition of morphisms).

- 2.1.2.** An element  $f \in \text{Mor}(Q, R)$  can be written as  $f: Q \rightarrow R$ .

### 2.2. Examples

**2.2.1.** The category *Set* has sets as objects, maps of sets as morphisms.

**2.2.2.** The category *Gr* has groups as objects, homomorphisms of groups as morphisms.

**2.2.3.** The category *Rg* consists of rings as objects, homomorphisms of rings as morphisms.

**2.2.4.** The category *A-mod* consists of left modules over a (not necessarily commutative) ring  $A$  as objects, homomorphisms of rings as morphisms. Note that  $\mathbb{Z} - \text{mod}$  coincides with the category *Ab* of abelian groups as objects, homomorphisms of groups as morphisms.

**2.2.5.** The category *Fld* consists of fields as objects, homomorphisms of rings as morphisms.

### 2.3. Definitions

**2.3.1. Definition.** A morphism  $f: Q \rightarrow R$  is called an isomorphism if there is a morphism  $g: R \rightarrow Q$  such that  $f \circ g = \text{id}_R$  and  $g \circ f = \text{id}_Q$ . It is easy to show that if  $g$  exists, then  $g$  is unique. The objects  $Q$  and  $R$  are called isomorphic objects.

Isomorphisms in  $Set$  are bijections.

An isomorphism from  $Q$  to  $Q$  is called an automorphism of  $Q$ , the set of all automorphisms is denoted by  $\text{Aut}(Q)$ . It is a group.

**2.3.2.** Morphisms from  $Q$  to  $Q$  are called endomorphisms. The set of all endomorphism of  $Q$  is denoted by  $\text{End}(Q)$ .

**2.3.3.** A morphism  $f: Q \rightarrow R$  is called a monomorphism if for every two morphisms  $g_1, g_2: S \rightarrow Q$

$$f \circ g_1 = f \circ g_2 \Rightarrow g_1 = g_2$$

(can cancel  $f$  on the left).

Monomorphisms of categories of 2.2.1-2.2.5 are injective maps. Every morphism of a category of 2.2.1-2.2.5 which is injective is a monomorphism.

**2.3.4.** A morphism  $f: Q \rightarrow R$  is called an epimorphism if for every two morphisms  $g_1, g_2: R \rightarrow S$

$$g_1 \circ f = g_2 \circ f \Rightarrow g_1 = g_2$$

(can cancel  $f$  on the right).

Surjective morphisms of categories of 2.2.1-2.2.5 are epimorphisms.

**Lemma.** A morphism of  $Set$ ,  $A - mod$  which is an epimorphism is surjective.

*Proof.* If  $f: Q \rightarrow R$  is not surjective for sets  $Q, R$ , then define  $g_i: R \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  by  $g_1(f(Q)) = 0$ ,  $g_1(r) = 1$  for  $r \in R \setminus f(Q)$  and  $g_2(R) = 0$ . Then  $g_1 \circ f = g_2 \circ f$  and  $g_1 \neq g_2$ .

If  $f: Q \rightarrow R$  is not surjective for modules  $Q, R$ , then let  $g_1: R \rightarrow R/f(Q)$  be the canonical surjective homomorphism and  $g_2: R \rightarrow R/f(Q)$  be the zero homomorphism. Then  $g_1 \circ f = g_2 \circ f$  and  $g_1 \neq g_2$ .

However, in other categories there are epimorphisms which are not surjective: for example, the inclusion  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is an epimorphism in  $Rg$ . Indeed, assume that  $g_1: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow A$  is a ring homomorphism, and  $g_2: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow A$  is a ring homomorphism such that  $g_1 \circ f = g_2 \circ f$ . If the kernel of  $g_1$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then its image is  $\{0\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \ker(g_2)$ , so  $\ker(g_2) = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $g_1 = g_2$ . If the kernel of  $g_1$  is  $(0)$ , then its image is an integral domain and so is the image of  $g_1$  by the preceding arguments. From  $g_1(n) = g_2(n)$  for every integer  $n$ , we get  $mg_1(n/m) = g_1(n) = g_2(n) = mg_2(n/m)$ , so  $g_1(n/m) = g_2(n/m)$  and  $g_1 = g_2$ .

Note that  $f$  isn't an isomorphism, though it is a monomorphism and epimorphism.

**2.3.5. Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a category. The opposite category  $\mathcal{Q}^{op}$  has the same objects as  $\mathcal{Q}$ , for  $Q, R$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q}^{op})$  the set  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{Q}^{op}}(Q, R)$  is equal by the definition to the set  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{Q}}(R, Q)$ ; the composition

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{Q}^{op}}(R, S) \times \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{Q}^{op}}(Q, R) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{Q}^{op}}(Q, S)$$

is defined as  $(f, g) \rightarrow g \circ f \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{Q}}(S, Q) = \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{Q}^{op}}(Q, S)$ .

Monomorphisms of  $\mathcal{Q}$  are epimorphisms of  $\mathcal{Q}^{op}$ ; epimorphisms of  $\mathcal{Q}$  are monomorphisms of  $\mathcal{Q}^{op}$ .

**2.3.6.** Definition. An initial object of  $\mathcal{Q}$  (if it exists) is an object  $I$  such that for every  $Q$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$  there is exactly one morphism from  $I$  to  $Q$ . A terminal object of  $\mathcal{Q}$  (if it exists) is an object  $T$  such that for every  $Q$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$  there is exactly one morphism from  $Q$  to  $T$ .

All initial objects are isomorphic, and all terminal objects are isomorphic.

For example, the initial object of  $Set$  is the empty set; the terminal object of  $Set$  is any one-element set. The group consisting of one element is an initial and terminal object of  $Gr$  and  $Ab$ . The  $A$ -module  $\{0\}$  is an initial and terminal object of  $A - mod$ .

**2.3.7.** Let  $Q$  in  $CalQ$  and let  $\mathcal{Q}_Q$  be a category whose objects are morphisms  $f: R \rightarrow Q$ ,  $R$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$  and morphisms from  $f: R \rightarrow Q$  to  $g: S \rightarrow Q$  are morphisms  $h: R \rightarrow S$  such that  $g \circ h = f$ .

**2.3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a category. Define a new category  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Q})$  whose objects are morphisms of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and for two morphisms  $f: Q \rightarrow R \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Q}))$  and  $f': Q' \rightarrow R' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Q}))$  morphism of  $f$  to  $f'$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Q})$  is the pair  $(\phi: A \rightarrow A', \psi: B \rightarrow B')$  of morphisms of  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that  $\psi \circ f = f' \circ \phi$ .

## 2.4. Products and coproducts

**2.4.1.** Definition. If  $Q_k, k \in K$  is a set of objects in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , then a product  $\prod_{k \in K} Q_k$  (if it exists) is an object of  $\mathcal{Q}$  together with morphisms  $\pi_k: \prod_{k \in K} Q_k \rightarrow Q_k$  such that for every  $Q$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$  and every set of morphisms  $f_k: Q \rightarrow Q_k$  there is a unique morphism  $f: Q \rightarrow \prod_{k \in K} Q_k$  such that

$$\pi_k \circ f = f_k \quad \text{for all } k \in K.$$

**2.4.2.** If a product exists it is unique up to an isomorphism.

If  $K = \{1, 2\}$  we just write  $Q_1 \times Q_2$  for the product of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ .

**2.4.3.** Product in category  $Set$  is the product of sets, in  $Ab$  is the product of groups, in  $Rg$  is the product of rings, in  $A - mod$  is the product of modules, in  $Fld$  doesn't exist.

**2.4.4.** Definition. If  $Q_k, k \in K$  is a set of objects in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , then a coproduct  $\coprod_{k \in K} Q_k$  (if it exists) is an object of  $\mathcal{Q}$  together with morphisms  $i_k: Q_k \rightarrow \coprod_{k \in K} Q_k$  such that for every  $Q$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$  and every set of morphisms  $f_k: Q_k \rightarrow Q$  there is a unique morphism  $f: \coprod_{k \in K} Q_k \rightarrow Q$  such that

$$f \circ i_k = f_k \quad \text{for all } k \in K.$$

**2.4.5.** Coproduct in category  $Set$  is the disjoint union of sets, in  $Ab$  is the direct sum of groups, in  $A - mod$  is the direct sum of modules, in  $Rg$  and  $Fld$  doesn't exist.

**2.4.6.** Coproduct in  $\mathcal{Q}$  corresponds to product in  $\mathcal{Q}^{op}$  and product in  $\mathcal{Q}$  corresponds to coproduct in  $\mathcal{Q}^{op}$ .

## 2.5. Functors of categories

**2.5.1.** Definition. A (covariant) functor  $\mathcal{F}$  from a category  $\mathcal{Q}$  to a category  $\mathcal{R}$  is a rule which associates an object  $\mathcal{F}(Q)$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  to every object  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ , and a morphism  $\mathcal{F}(f): \mathcal{F}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(R)$  to every morphism  $f: Q \rightarrow R$  such that the following properties hold:

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}(\text{id}_Q) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}(Q)}$  for every  $Q$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}(f \circ g) = \mathcal{F}(f) \circ \mathcal{F}(g)$  for every  $f \in \text{Mor}(R, S), g \in \text{Mor}(Q, R)$ .

**2.5.2.** Example 1. The identity functor  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{Q}}$  associates  $Q$  to  $Q$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$  and  $f$  to  $f \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{Q})$ .

Example 2. A forgetful functor, for example from  $A\text{-mod}$  to  $Ab$  (forget the  $A$ -module structure), or from  $Gr$  to  $Set$  (forget the group structure).

**2.5.3.** If  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  are two functors, then  $\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is defined as  $(\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F})(Q) = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}(Q))$  and  $(\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F})(f) = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}(f))$ .

Then  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{Q}} \circ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \circ \text{id}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .

**2.5.4.** A contravariant functor  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  is a (covariant) functor  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{op}$ , i.e. a rule which associates an object  $\mathcal{F}(Q)$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  to every object  $Q$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$ , and a morphism  $\mathcal{F}(f): \mathcal{F}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Q)$  to every morphism  $f: Q \rightarrow R$  such that the following properties hold:

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}(\text{id}_Q) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}(Q)}$  for every  $Q$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}(f \circ g) = \mathcal{F}(g) \circ \mathcal{F}(f)$  for every  $f \in \text{Mor}(R, S), g \in \text{Mor}(Q, R)$ .

**2.5.5.** Example 3. Let  $Q$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$ . Define a functor  $\mathcal{H}om(Q, \cdot): \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  by

$$\mathcal{H}om(Q, \cdot)(R) = \text{Mor}(Q, R) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{H}om(Q, \cdot)(f))(g) = f \circ g$$

for every  $g \in \text{Mor}(Q, R)$  for a morphism  $f: R \rightarrow S$ , so  $\mathcal{H}om(Q, \cdot)(f): \text{Mor}(Q, R) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(Q, S)$ . If  $\mathcal{Q} = \text{mod} - A$ , then  $\mathcal{H}om(Q, \cdot): \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}om(Q, \cdot)(R) = \text{Hom}(Q, R)$ .

Define a contravariant functor  $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, Q): \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  by

$$\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, Q)(R) = \text{Mor}(R, Q) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, Q)(f))(g) = g \circ f$$

for every  $g \in \text{Mor}(R, Q)$  for a morphism  $f: S \rightarrow R$ , so  $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, Q)(f): \text{Mor}(R, Q) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(S, Q)$ . If  $\mathcal{Q} = \text{mod} - A$ , then  $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, Q): \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ .

**2.5.6.** Definition. Suppose that for every two morphisms  $f, g: Q \rightarrow R$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  there is their sum  $f + g: Q \rightarrow R$  and it is a morphism of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . A functor  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is called additive if  $\mathcal{F}(f + g) = \mathcal{F}(f) + \mathcal{F}(g)$  for every two morphisms  $f, g: Q \rightarrow R$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**Lemma.** If  $\mathcal{F}$  is an additive functor, then  $\mathcal{F}(Q_1 \times Q_2)$  is a product of  $\mathcal{F}(Q_1)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(Q_2)$ .

*Proof.* First, let  $Q_1, Q_2, Q$  be objects of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Suppose there are morphisms

$$i_1: Q_1 \rightarrow Q, \quad i_2: Q_2 \rightarrow Q, \quad p_1: Q \rightarrow Q_1, \quad p_2: Q \rightarrow Q_2$$

such that

$$p_1 \circ i_1 = \text{id}_{Q_1}, \quad p_2 \circ i_2 = \text{id}_{Q_2}, \quad p_1 \circ i_2 = 0, \quad p_2 \circ i_1 = 0, \quad i_1 \circ p_1 + i_2 \circ p_2 = \text{id}_Q.$$

Let  $R$  be an object of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and let  $f_k: R \rightarrow Q_k$  be morphisms. Define  $f: R \rightarrow Q$  as  $f = i_1 \circ f_1 + i_2 \circ f_2$ . Then  $p_k \circ f = p_k \circ (i_1 \circ f_1 + i_2 \circ f_2) = f_k$ . If  $g: R \rightarrow Q$  satisfies  $p_k \circ g = f_k$ , then  $p_k \circ (f - g) = 0$  and  $f - g = (i_1 \circ p_1 + i_2 \circ p_2) \circ (f - g) = 0$ , i.e.  $f = g$ . Thus,  $Q$  together with morphisms  $p_k: Q \rightarrow Q_k$  is a product of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ .

Conversely, if  $Q$  is a product of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , then there morphisms  $i_k, p_k = \pi_k$  satisfy the listed relations.

Thus the property of  $Q$  to be a product of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  can be reformulated in terms of morphisms. Then their images with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfy the same relations, and thus,  $\mathcal{F}(Q_1 \times Q_2)$  is a product of  $\mathcal{F}(Q_1)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(Q_2)$ .

## 2.6. Complexes, commutative diagrams and exact sequences

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be  $A$ -mod. We write groups additively. Denote by  $0$  the zero  $A$ -module. It is an initial and terminal object of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

Every morphism in  $\mathcal{Q}$  has its kernel (as a homomorphism in this case) and image.

**2.6.1. Definition.** A sequence of objects and morphisms in  $\mathcal{Q}$

$$\dots \longrightarrow Q_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} Q_n \xrightarrow{f_n} Q_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

is called exact if the kernel of  $f_n$  is equal to the image of  $f_{n+1}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example.** A short sequence is

$$0 \longrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{f} R \xrightarrow{g} S \longrightarrow 0$$

and its exactness means that  $f$  is injective,  $g$  is surjective and the kernel of  $g$  coincides with the image of  $f$ , so if we identify  $Q$  with its image in  $R$ , then  $S$  is isomorphic to  $R/Q$ .

**2.6.2. Definition.** A diagram of objects and morphisms is called commutative if the result of compositions of morphisms doesn't depend on the route chosen. For instance, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & Q_{n,m} & \xrightarrow{f_{n,m}} & Q_{n,m-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow g_{n,m} & & \downarrow g_{n,m-1} & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & Q_{n-1,m} & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1,m}} & Q_{n-1,m-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

of objects  $Q_{n,m}$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and morphisms  $f_{n,m}: Q_{n,m} \rightarrow Q_{n,m-1}$ ,  $g_{n,m}: Q_{n,m} \rightarrow Q_{n-1,m}$  is commutative if  $f_{n-1,m} \circ g_{n,m} = g_{n,m-1} \circ f_{n,m}$  for every  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that a functor sends a commutative diagram into a commutative diagram, since  $\mathcal{F}(f \circ \dots \circ g) = \mathcal{F}(f) \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{F}(g)$ .

**2.6.3. Definition.** A chain complex  $\mathbf{C}$  is a sequence  $C_n$  of objects of  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that there are morphisms  $d_n: C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$  such that  $d_n \circ d_{n+1}$  is the zero morphism  $C_{n+1} \rightarrow C_{n-1}$ . Note that every exact sequence is a chain complex.

We write

$$\dots \longrightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

The morphisms  $d_n$  are called differentials of  $\mathbf{C}$ . The kernel of  $d_n$  which is an object of  $\mathcal{Q}$  consists of so called  $n$ -cycles and is denoted by  $Z_n(\mathbf{C})$ . The image of  $d_{n+1}$  which is an object of  $\mathcal{Q}$  consists of  $n$ -boundaries and is denoted by  $B_n(\mathbf{C})$ . Since  $d_n \circ d_{n+1} = 0$  we get  $0 \subset B_n(\mathbf{C}) \subset Z_n(\mathbf{C}) \subset C_n$  for all  $n$ . The quotient  $Z_n(\mathbf{C})/B_n(\mathbf{C})$  is called the  $n$ th homology of  $\mathbf{C}$  and is denoted by  $H_n(\mathbf{C})$ .

A complex  $\mathbf{C}$  is called exact if sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

is exact, i.e.  $H_n(\mathbf{C}) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**2.6.4. Definition.** A cochain complex  $\mathbf{C}$  is a sequence  $C^n$  of objects of  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that there are morphisms  $d^n: C^n \rightarrow C^{n+1}$  such that  $d^n \circ d^{n-1}$  is the zero morphism  $C^{n-1} \rightarrow C^{n+1}$ . We write

$$\dots \longrightarrow C^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} C^n \xrightarrow{d^n} C^{n+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

The morphisms  $d^n$  are called differentials of  $\mathbf{C}$ . The kernel of  $d^n$  which is an object of  $\mathcal{Q}$  consists of  $n$ -cocycles and is denoted by  $Z^n(\mathbf{C})$ . The image of  $d^{n-1}$  which is an object of  $\mathcal{Q}$  consists of  $n$ -coboundaries and is denoted by  $B^n(\mathbf{C})$ . Since  $d^n \circ d^{n-1} = 0$  we get  $0 \subset B^n(\mathbf{C}) \subset Z^n(\mathbf{C}) \subset C^n$  for all  $n$ . The quotient  $Z^n(\mathbf{C})/B^n(\mathbf{C})$  is called the  $n$ th cohomology of  $\mathbf{C}$  and is denoted by  $H^n(\mathbf{C})$ .

**2.6.5. Definition.** For two chain complexes

$$\dots \longrightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

and

$$\dots \longrightarrow C'_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d'_{n+1}} C'_n \xrightarrow{d'_n} C'_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

a morphism  $u: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}'$  is a sequence of morphisms  $u_n: C_n \rightarrow C'_n$  such that

$$u_{n-1} \circ d_n = d_{n-1} \circ u_n$$

for every  $n$ . In other words, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & C_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} & C_n & \xrightarrow{d_n} & C_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & u_{n+1} \downarrow & & u_n \downarrow & & u_{n-1} \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & C'_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{d'_{n+1}} & C'_n & \xrightarrow{d'_n} & C'_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

is commutative.

**Definition.** A category  $Ch(\mathcal{Q})$  of chain complexes over  $\mathcal{Q}$  has chain complexes as objects and morphisms of chain complexes as morphisms.

The morphism  $u: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}'$  induces morphisms  $H_n(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_n(\mathbf{C}')$  for all  $n$ .

**2.6.6. Definition.** A sequence of chain complexes  $\mathbf{C}^{(n)}$  and morphisms  $u^{(n)}: \mathbf{C}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{(n-1)}$  is called exact if for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  the sequence  $C_m^{(n+1)} \xrightarrow{u_m^{(n+1)}} C_m^{(n)} \xrightarrow{u_m^{(n)}} C_m^{(n-1)}$  for every  $n$ .

**2.6.7.** Note that a functor send a chain complex into a chain complex, since  $0 = \mathcal{F}(0) = \mathcal{F}(d_n \circ d_{n+1}) = \mathcal{F}(d_n) \circ \mathcal{F}(d_{n+1})$ .

## 2.7. Long sequence of homologies

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be  $A$ -mod.

**2.7.1.** Let  $f: Q \rightarrow R$  be a morphism of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(f) \longrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{f} R \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(f) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here  $\ker(f)$  is the kernel of  $f$  and  $\operatorname{coker}(f) = R/f(Q)$  is the cokernel of  $f$ .

**2.7.2. Snake Lemma.** For a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{p} & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ f \downarrow & & g \downarrow & & h \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{i} & B' & \longrightarrow & C' \end{array}$$

with exact rows there is an exact sequence

$$\ker(f) \longrightarrow \ker(g) \longrightarrow \ker(h) \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{coker}(f) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(g) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(h)$$

with  $\delta$  defined by the formula  $\delta(c) = i^{-1}gp^{-1}(c) \bmod \text{coker}(f)$  for  $c \in \ker(h)$ . Moreover, if  $A \rightarrow B$  is a monomorphism, then so is  $\ker(f) \rightarrow \ker(g)$  and if  $B' \rightarrow C'$  is an epimorphism, then so is  $\text{coker}(g) \rightarrow \text{coker}(h)$ .

*Proof.* Diagram chase.

**2.7.3. Theorem.** *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow 0$$

*be a short exact sequence of chain complexes. Then there are morphisms*

$\delta_n: H_n(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(\mathbf{A})$  *called connecting morphisms such that*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{g_{n+1}} H_{n+1}(\mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}} H_n(\mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{f_n} H_n(\mathbf{B}) \xrightarrow{g_n} H_n(\mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} H_{n-1}(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

*is an exact sequence.*

*Similarly, if*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{B} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow 0$$

*is a short exact sequence of cochain complexes, then there are morphisms*

$\delta^n: H^n(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(\mathbf{A})$  *called connecting morphisms such that*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{g^{n-1}} H^{n-1}(\mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta^{n-1}} H^n(\mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{f^n} H^n(\mathbf{B}) \xrightarrow{g^n} H^n(\mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} H^{n+1}(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

*is an exact sequence.*

*Proof.* From the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A_n & \longrightarrow & B_n & \longrightarrow & C_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & d_n^A \downarrow & & d_n^B \downarrow & & d_n^C \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & B_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & C_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and the Snake Lemma we get exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_n(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow Z_n(\mathbf{B}) \longrightarrow Z_n(\mathbf{C})$$

and

$$A_n/d_{n+1}^A(A_{n+1}) \longrightarrow B_n/d_{n+1}^B(B_{n+1}) \longrightarrow C_n/d_{n+1}^C(C_{n+1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Define morphism  $\bar{d}_n^A: A_n/d_{n+1}^A(A_{n+1}) \rightarrow Z_{n-1}(\mathbf{A})$  as induced by  $d_n^A$ . Now we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A_n/d_{n+1}^A(A_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & B_n/d_{n+1}^B(B_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & C_n/d_{n+1}^C(C_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \bar{d}_n^A \downarrow & & \bar{d}_n^B \downarrow & & \bar{d}_n^C \downarrow & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & Z_{n-1}(\mathbf{A}) & \longrightarrow & Z_{n-1}(\mathbf{B}) & \longrightarrow & Z_{n-1}(\mathbf{C}) & \end{array}$$

The kernels of the vertical morphisms is  $H_n(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $H_n(\mathbf{B})$ ,  $H_n(\mathbf{C})$  and their cokernels are  $H_{n-1}(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $H_{n-1}(\mathbf{B})$ ,  $H_{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ . By the Snake Lemma we deduce an exact sequence

$$H_n(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow H_n(\mathbf{B}) \longrightarrow H_n(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(\mathbf{B}) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(\mathbf{C}).$$

Pasting together these sequences we get the long exact sequence.

**2.7.4. Remark.** If

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{A}' & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{B}' & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}' \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram with short exact sequences of chain complexes, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & H_n(\mathbf{B}) & \longrightarrow & H_n(\mathbf{C}) & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & H_n(\mathbf{B}) & \longrightarrow & H_n(\mathbf{C}) & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow \dots
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

The proof follows from the explicit description of the morphism  $\delta_n: H_n(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(\mathbf{A})$ :  $\delta_n$  transforms an  $n$ -cycle  $x \in C_n$  to  $f_{n-1}^{-1}d_n^B g_n^{-1}(x) \bmod d_{n-1}A_{n-1}$ .



### 3. Free, projective and injective modules

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be  $A - \text{mod}$ .

#### 3.1. Free objects

**3.1.1.** Let  $X$  be a set. Consider a category  $\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q})$  objects of which are maps  $f: X \rightarrow Q$ ,  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ , and a morphism from an object  $f: X \rightarrow Q$  to an object  $g: X \rightarrow R$  is a morphism  $\varphi: Q \rightarrow R$  in  $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{Q}, R)$  such that  $g = \varphi \circ f$ .

**3.1.2.** Definition. An object  $F$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  together with a map  $f: X \rightarrow F$  is called a  $X$ -free (free) object of  $\mathcal{Q}$  if  $f: X \rightarrow F$  is an initial object of the category  $\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q})$ . In other words, for every object  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and a map  $g: X \rightarrow Q$  there is a unique morphism  $\psi: F \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that

$$\psi \circ f = g.$$

Or, equivalently, for every set  $\{q_x \in Q : x \in X\}$  there is a unique morphism  $\psi: F \rightarrow Q$  such that  $\psi(f(x)) = q_x$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Example.** If  $X$  consists of one element  $x$ , then  $A, x \rightarrow 1$  is a  $X$ -free object in  $A - \text{mod}$ .

**3.1.3.** Lemma *For every set  $X$  there exists a  $X$ -free object of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Every two  $X$ -free objects are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Let  $F = \coprod_{x \in X} A_x = \bigoplus_{x \in X} A_x$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  where  $A_x = A$ . Let the map  $f: X \rightarrow F$  be defined by  $x \rightarrow 1$  of the  $x$ th component. It is a  $X$ -free object of  $\mathcal{Q}$ : for an object  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and a map  $g: X \rightarrow Q$  define  $\psi: F \rightarrow Q$  by  $\psi(\bigoplus a_x) = \sum_{x \in X} a_x g(x)$ . Then  $\psi \circ f = g$ . If  $\psi' \circ f = g$ , then  $\psi' = \psi$ .

Since  $F$  is a  $X$ -free object, there is a unique morphism  $\psi_0: F \rightarrow F$  such that  $\psi_0 \circ f = f$ . We deduce that  $\psi_0 = \text{id}_F$ .

If  $F', f': X \rightarrow F'$  is another  $X$ -free object, then there is a unique morphism  $\psi: F \rightarrow F'$  such that  $f' = \psi \circ f$  and a unique morphism  $\psi': F' \rightarrow F$  such that  $f = \psi' \circ f'$ . Then  $f = \psi' \circ \psi \circ f$ , so by the previous paragraph  $\psi' \circ \psi = \text{id}_F$  and similarly  $\psi \circ \psi' = \text{id}_{F'}$ , so  $F$  and  $F'$  are isomorphic.

**3.1.4.** Lemma. *The coproduct of free objects is free.*

*Proof.* Let  $F_k$  be  $X_k$ -free (with  $f_k: X_k \rightarrow F_k$ ),  $k \in K$ . Let  $i_k: F_k \rightarrow \coprod_{k \in K} F_k$  be as in the definition of a coproduct in 2.4.4. Define  $\rho_k: X_k \xrightarrow{f_k} F_k \xrightarrow{i_k} \coprod_{k \in K} F_k$ . By the definition of a coproduct there is a map  $f: \coprod_{k \in K} X_k \rightarrow \coprod_{k \in K} F_k$  such that the composition of  $j_k: X_k \rightarrow \coprod_{k \in K} X_k$  and  $f$  coincides with  $\rho_k$  for all  $k \in K$ .

For a map  $g: \coprod_{k \in K} X_k \rightarrow Q$  put  $g_k = g \circ j_k$ ,  $k \in K$ . Then there are morphisms  $\psi_k: F_k \rightarrow Q$  such that  $\psi_k \circ f_k = g_k$  for all  $k \in K$ . From the definition of a coproduct we deduce there is a morphism  $\psi: \coprod_{k \in K} F_k \rightarrow Q$  such that  $\psi \circ i_k = \psi_k$ . Then

$$\psi \circ f \circ j_k = \psi \circ \rho_k = \psi \circ i_k \circ f_k = \psi \circ i_k \circ f_k = \psi_k \circ f_k = g_k = g \circ j_k$$

for every  $k \in K$ . Then from the definition of a coproduct in 2.4.4 we conclude that  $\psi \circ f = g$ .

If  $\psi' \circ f = g$ , then

$$\psi' \circ f \circ j_k = \psi' \circ \rho_k = \psi' \circ i_k \circ f_k = g \circ j_k = g_k = \psi_k \circ f_k,$$

so  $\psi' \circ i_k = \psi_k$  and then  $\psi' = \psi$ .

**3.1.5. Lemma.** *Every object of  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a quotient of a free object.*

*Proof.* For an object  $Q$  put  $X = Q$  and let  $g: X \rightarrow Q$  be the identity map. Then there is a  $X$ -free object  $F$  with  $f: X \rightarrow F$  such that there is a morphism  $\psi: F \rightarrow Q$  satisfying  $\psi \circ f = g$ . Since  $g$  is surjective,  $\psi$  is an epimorphism and  $Q$  is a quotient of  $F$ .

### 3.2. Projective objects

**3.2.1. Definition.** An object  $P$  is called a summand of an object  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  if there are morphisms  $\pi: Q \rightarrow P$  and  $i: P \rightarrow Q$  such that  $\pi \circ i = \text{id}_P$ .

Then the kernel of  $i$  and the cokernel of  $\pi$  are zero.

**3.2.2. Examples.**

1)  $P$  is a summand of  $P$ , just take  $i$  and  $\pi$  as the identity morphisms.

2) Define  $i: P \rightarrow P \oplus R, \pi: P \oplus R \rightarrow P$  by  $i_P(p) = (p, 0), \pi_P(p, r) = p$ . Then  $P$  is a summand of  $P \oplus R$ .

3) Let  $P_k$  be a summand of  $Q_k, k = 1, 2$ . Then  $P_1 \oplus P_2$  is a summand of  $Q_1 \oplus Q_2$ , just take  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2)$  and  $i = (i_1, i_2)$ .

**3.2.3. Definition.** A short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{u} Q \xrightarrow{v} S \longrightarrow 0$$

splits if there is a morphism  $w: S \rightarrow Q$  such that  $v \circ w = \text{id}_S$ .

Then  $S$  is a summand of  $Q$ . Conversely, if  $S$  is a summand of  $Q$ , then the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\pi} S \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $R = \ker \pi$ , splits:  $\pi \circ i = \text{id}_S$ .

Define a morphism  $\rho: R \oplus S \rightarrow Q$  by  $\rho((r, s)) = u(r) + w(s)$ . If  $\rho((r, s)) = 0$ , then  $0 = v(\rho((r, s))) = s$  and then  $u(r) = 0$ , so  $r = 0$ . Hence  $\rho$  is injective. Since  $v(q - wv(q)) = v(q) - v(q) = 0, q - wv(q) = u(r)$  for some  $r \in R$ . Then  $q = \rho((r, v(q)))$ . Therefore,  $\rho$  is an isomorphism and  $Q$  is a direct sum of  $R$  and  $S$ .

Similarly one can show that  $Q$  is isomorphic to  $R \oplus S$  iff there is a morphism  $z: Q \rightarrow R$  such that  $z \circ u = \text{id}_R$ .

Thus,  $S$  is a summand of  $Q$  iff there is a short exact split sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{u} Q \xrightarrow{v} S \longrightarrow 0,$$

iff  $Q$  is a direct sum of  $S$  and  $R$  iff there is a morphism  $z: Q \rightarrow R$  such that  $z \circ u = \text{id}_R$ .

**3.2.4. Definition.** An object  $P$  is called projective if it is a summand of a free object.

**Examples.**

1) Every free object is a summand of itself, therefore every free object is projective.

2) Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ . By the Chinese remainder theorem  $A$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is a projective  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ -module. However, it isn't a free  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ -module, since every finite free  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ -module has cardinality divisible by 6.

**3.2.5. Lemma.** For objects  $P_1, P_2$  the direct sum  $P_1 \oplus P_2$  is projective iff  $P_1, P_2$  are projective.

*Proof.* Let  $p_{P_k}: P_1 \oplus P_2 \rightarrow P_k$ ,  $i_{P_k}: P_k \rightarrow P_1 \oplus P_2$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , be morphisms introduced in example 2) above. If  $P_1 \oplus P_2$  is a summand of a free object  $F$  with morphisms  $i, \pi$ , then  $P_k$  is a summand of  $F$  with morphisms  $\pi_{P_k} \circ \pi$  and  $i \circ i_{P_k}$ .

If  $P_1, P_2$  are summands of  $F_1, F_2$ , then by example 3) above  $P_1 \oplus P_2$  is a summand of  $F_1 \oplus F_2$  which is a free object by 3.1.4.

**3.2.6. Proposition.** An object  $P$  is projective iff for every two objects  $R, Q$ , a morphism  $\beta: P \rightarrow Q$  and an epimorphism  $\alpha: R \rightarrow Q$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ & \beta \downarrow & \\ R & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Q \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

there is a morphism  $\gamma: P \rightarrow R$  such that  $\beta = \alpha \circ \gamma$ .

*Proof.* First let's check that if  $P$  is a  $X$ -free object with  $f: X \rightarrow P$ , then it satisfies the property of the proposition. Denote  $g = \beta \circ f$  and  $q_x = g(x)$  for  $x \in X$ . Since  $\alpha$  is surjective,  $q_x = \alpha(r_x)$  for some  $r_x \in R$ . According to the definition of a  $X$ -free object, there is a morphism  $\gamma: P \rightarrow R$  such that  $\gamma(f(x)) = r_x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $\alpha \circ \gamma(f(x)) = \beta(f(x))$ . Morphisms  $\alpha \circ \gamma$  and  $\beta$  satisfy  $\alpha \circ \gamma \circ f = g = \beta \circ f$ , so  $\alpha \circ \gamma = \beta$ .

Now let  $P$  be projective, so there is a free object  $F$  and morphisms  $\pi: F \rightarrow P$  and  $i: P \rightarrow F$  such that  $\pi \circ i = \text{id}_P$ . Then we get a morphism  $\beta' = \beta \circ \pi: F \rightarrow Q$  and from the first paragraph there is a morphism  $\gamma': F \rightarrow R$  such that  $\alpha \circ \gamma' = \beta'$ . Then for  $\gamma = \gamma' \circ i: P \rightarrow R$  we get  $\alpha \circ \gamma = \beta' \circ i = \beta \circ \pi \circ i = \beta$ , so  $P$  satisfied the property of the proposition.

Conversely, assume  $P$  satisfies the property of the proposition. Let  $F$  be a free object such that  $P$  is its quotient, i.e. there is an epimorphism  $\alpha: F \rightarrow P$ . Then there is a morphism  $\gamma: P \rightarrow F$  such that  $\alpha \circ \gamma = \text{id}_P$ . Thus,  $P$  is a summand of  $F$ .

**3.2.7. Corollary 1.** Let  $P$  be projective. Then for every three objects  $S, R, Q$  and a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ & \beta \downarrow & \\ S & \xrightarrow{\delta} & R \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q \end{array}$$

with exact row and  $\alpha \circ \beta = 0$  there is a morphism  $\varepsilon: P \rightarrow S$  such that

$$\beta = \delta \circ \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha \circ \beta = 0$ , we deduce that  $\text{im}(\beta) \subset \ker(\alpha) = \delta(S)$ . Consider the epimorphism  $\delta': S \rightarrow \delta(S)$ . From the proposition we deduce that there is a morphism  $\varepsilon: P \rightarrow S$  such that  $\beta = \delta' \circ \varepsilon$ . Then  $\beta = \delta \circ \varepsilon$ .

**3.2.8. Corollary 2.**  $P$  is projective iff every short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{v} P \longrightarrow 0$$

splits.

*Proof.* If  $P$  is projective, then by the proposition there is a morphism  $\gamma: P \rightarrow Q$  such that  $v \circ \gamma = \text{id}_P$ , so the sequence splits.

Let

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{v} P \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence where  $F$  is free. Then it splits, so  $P$  is a summand of  $F$ , and therefore  $P$  is projective.

**Example 3.** ) Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ . The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

(the morphism  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is defined as  $n \bmod 4 \rightarrow n \bmod 2$ ) doesn't split, because otherwise  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  were isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and wouldn't have an element  $1 \bmod 4$  of order 4. Thus,  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  isn't a projective object in the category  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} - \text{mod}$ .

**3.2.9.** Definition. A functor  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is called exact (left exact, right exact) if for every short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0$$

the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(Q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact (exact everywhere with exception of  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ , exact everywhere with exception of  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ ).

**Lemma.** The functor  $\mathcal{H}om(T, \cdot): \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  defined in 2.5.5 is left exact.

*Proof.* Let

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{u} Q \xrightarrow{v} S \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence. If  $f: T \rightarrow R$  and  $u \circ f: T \rightarrow Q$  is the zero morphism, then  $f(T) = 0$  and so  $f$  is the zero morphism.

For  $f: T \rightarrow R$  clearly  $v \circ u \circ f: T \rightarrow S$  is the zero morphism. If  $g: T \rightarrow S$  is such that  $v \circ g: T \rightarrow S$  is the zero morphism, then for every  $t \in T$   $g(t) = u(r_t)$  for a uniquely determined  $r_t \in R$ . Define  $f: T \rightarrow R$  by  $f(t) = r_t$ . It is a morphism and  $g = u \circ f$ .

Similarly one can show that the contravariant functor  $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, T): \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is left exact, i.e. for an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0$$

the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(S, T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(Q, T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(R, T)$$

is exact.

**3.2.10.** Corollary 3.  $P$  is projective iff the functor  $\mathcal{H}om(P, \cdot): \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is exact.

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be projective. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{v} S \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence. For every morphism  $g: P \rightarrow S$  there is a morphism  $f: P \rightarrow Q$  such that  $g = v \circ f$ . Thus, the morphism  $\mathcal{H}om(P, Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(P, S)$  is surjective.

Let the functor  $\mathcal{H}om(P, \cdot)$  be exact. Then for every epimorphism  $v: Q \rightarrow S$  and a morphism  $g: P \rightarrow S$  there is a morphism  $f: P \rightarrow Q$  such that  $g = v \circ f$ . Hence by the proposition  $P$  is projective.

**3.2.11.** Remarks.

- 1) Projective modules over PID are free.
- 2) Projective modules over local rings are free.

### 3.3. Injective objects

**3.3.1. Definition.** An object  $J$  is called injective if it is a projective object in  $\mathcal{Q}^{op}$ . In other words, for every two objects  $R, Q$ , a morphism  $\beta: R \rightarrow J$  and an monomorphism  $\alpha: R \rightarrow Q$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Q \\ & & \beta \downarrow & & \\ & & J & & \end{array}$$

there is a morphism  $\gamma: Q \rightarrow J$  such that

$$\beta = \gamma \circ \alpha.$$

Note that there is not characterization of injective objects in terms of free objects.

**3.3.2.** Here are properties of injective objects similar to those of projective.

- 1) The product of objects is injective iff each object is injective.
- 2) If  $J$  is injective, then for every three objects  $S, R, Q$  and a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q & \xrightarrow{\delta} & R & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & S \\ & & \beta \downarrow & & \\ & & J & & \end{array}$$

with exact row and  $\beta \circ \delta = 0$  there is a morphism  $\varepsilon: S \rightarrow J$  such that  $\beta = \varepsilon \circ \alpha$ .

- 3)  $J$  is injective iff the functor  $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, J): \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is exact.
- 4)  $J$  is injective  $\Rightarrow$  every short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0$$

splits.

**3.3.3.** For every object  $Q$  there is an injective object  $J$  and a monomorphism  $Q \rightarrow J$ . The proof is a little tricky and is omitted.

Using this result one can replace  $\Rightarrow$  in 4) by  $\Leftrightarrow$ .

**3.3.4. Definition.** An  $A$ -module  $Q$  is called divisible if for every  $q \in Q$  and  $a \in A$  which isn't a zero divisor there is  $q' \in Q$  such that  $q = aq'$ .

For example,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a divisible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules.

One can prove that 1)  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $Q$  is injective iff  $Q$  is divisible and 2) if  $Q$  is an  $A$ -module, then the  $A$ -module  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, Q)$  of all additive homomorphisms from  $A$  to  $Q$  is a divisible  $A$ -module.

### 3.4. Projective and injective resolutions

**3.4.1 Lemma.** Every object  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  possesses a projective resolution, i.e. there is an exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow P_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0$$

in which  $P_i$  are projective objects of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

*Proof.* By 3.1.5 there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_0 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0$$

in which  $P_0$  is a projective object (even free). For  $K_0$  there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_1 \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow K_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

in which  $P_1$  is a projective object. Similarly define  $K_n, P_n$ , so we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow K_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Define  $f_n: P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}$  as the composition of  $P_n \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow P_{n-1}$ . Then  $\ker(f_n)$  coincides with the kernel of  $P_n \rightarrow K_{n-1}$  which is equal to  $K_n$  and  $\text{im}(f_{n+1})$  coincides with the image of  $K_n \rightarrow P_n$  which is equal to  $K_n$ , so  $\ker(f_n) = \text{im}(f_{n+1})$ .

**3.4.2. Lemma.** *Let  $f: Q \rightarrow Q'$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{Q}$  and let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & P_n & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & P'_n & \longrightarrow & P'_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & P'_1 & \longrightarrow & P'_0 & \longrightarrow & Q' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

*be projective resolutions of  $Q$  and  $Q'$ . Then there are morphism  $f_n: P_n \rightarrow P'_n$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & f_1 \downarrow & & f_0 \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & P'_1 & \longrightarrow & P'_0 & \longrightarrow & Q' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

*is commutative.*

*Proof.* The morphism  $f_0$  exists since  $P_0$  is projective. The composition of the morphism  $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P'_0$  and the morphism  $P'_0 \rightarrow Q'$  is zero, so by 3.2.7 there is a morphism  $f_1: P_1 \rightarrow P'_1$  such that the composition of it with  $P'_1 \rightarrow P'_0$  coincides with  $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P'_0$ . Similarly one constructs morphisms  $f_n$ .

**3.4.3. Lemma.** *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence and let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & P'_1 & \longrightarrow & P'_0 & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & P''_1 & \longrightarrow & P''_0 & \longrightarrow & S & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

*be projective resolutions. Denote  $P_n = P'_n \oplus P''_n$  and let  $i'_n: P'_n \rightarrow P_n$ ,  $\pi''_n: P_n \rightarrow P''_n$  be morphisms associated to  $P_n$  as a product and coproduct of  $P'_n$  and  $P''_n$ .*

*Then there are morphisms  $\alpha_i$  such that  $P_n$  form a projective resolution of  $Q$  and there is a*

commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & P'_1 & \longrightarrow & P'_0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha'_0} & R \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & i'_1 \downarrow & & i'_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & P_0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & Q \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \pi''_1 \downarrow & & \pi''_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & P''_1 & \longrightarrow & P''_0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha''_0} & S \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Since  $P''_0$  is projective, there is a morphism  $w_0: P''_0 \rightarrow Q$  whose composition with  $Q \rightarrow S$  is equal to  $P''_0 \rightarrow S$ . Due to the definition of the coproduct for the morphisms  $P''_0 \rightarrow Q$  and  $v_0: P'_0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q$  there is a morphism  $\alpha_0: P_0 \rightarrow Q$  such that  $\alpha_0 \circ i'_0 = v_0$  and  $w_0 \circ \pi''_0 = \alpha_0$ . Therefore two left squares of the diagram are commutative.

For the Snake Lemma applied to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & P'_0 & \xrightarrow{i'_0} & P_0 & \xrightarrow{\pi'_0} & P''_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \alpha'_0 \downarrow & & \alpha_0 \downarrow & & \alpha''_0 \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & S \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(\alpha'_0) \longrightarrow \ker(\alpha_0) \longrightarrow \ker(\alpha''_0) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\alpha'_0) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\alpha_0) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\alpha''_0).$$

Since  $\alpha'_0$  and  $\alpha''_0$  are surjective, we deduce that  $\alpha_0$  is surjective.

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & P'_1 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\alpha'_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & i'_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & P_1 & & \ker(\alpha_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \pi''_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & P''_1 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\alpha''_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

and define similarly a morphism  $P_1 \rightarrow \ker(\alpha_0)$  which gives a morphism  $\alpha_1: P_1 \rightarrow P_0$ . Define further  $\alpha_n$  by induction.

**3.4.4.** Similarly one can show that every object  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  possesses an injective resolution, i.e. there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow J_0 \longrightarrow J_1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow J_{n-1} \longrightarrow J_n \longrightarrow \dots$$

in which  $J_i$  are injective objects of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

### 3.5. Left and right derived functors. Functors $\text{Ext}$ and $\text{Tor}$

**3.5.1.** Definition. Let  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  be a functor. For an object  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  let

$$\dots \longrightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{v_n} P_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0$$

be its projective resolution. Let  $d_0$  be the zero morphism from  $\mathcal{F}(P_0)$  to 0. Let  $d_n = \mathcal{F}(v_n)$  for  $n > 0$ . Then

$$\mathbf{C}_Q \quad \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(P_n) \xrightarrow{d_n} \mathcal{F}(P_{n-1}) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(P_1) \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{F}(P_0) \xrightarrow{d_0} 0$$

is a chain complex. Put

$$(L_n \mathcal{F})(Q) = H_n(\mathbf{C}_Q).$$

For a morphism  $f: Q \rightarrow Q'$  consider their projective resolutions and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & f_1 \downarrow & & f_0 \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & P'_1 & \longrightarrow & P'_0 & \longrightarrow & Q' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

which exists by 3.4.2. Then we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(P_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(P_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \mathcal{F}(f_1) \downarrow & & \mathcal{F}(f_0) \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(P'_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(P'_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Define  $(L_n \mathcal{F})(f): (L_n \mathcal{F})(Q) \rightarrow (L_n \mathcal{F})(Q')$  as  $H_n(\mathbf{C}_Q) \rightarrow H_n(\mathbf{C}_{Q'})$  which is induced by the morphism of complexes  $(\mathcal{F}(f_n)): \mathbf{C}_Q \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{Q'}$  where the latter chain complex is associated to the projective resolution of  $Q'$ .

Thus defined functor  $L_n \mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  is called the  $n$ th left derived functor of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

For example,  $L_0 \mathcal{F}(Q) = H_0(\mathbf{C}_Q)$  is the cokernel of  $d_1$  which is  $= \mathcal{F}(P_0)/d_1(\mathcal{F}(P_1))$ .

Similarly one defines the  $n$ th right derived (contravariant) functor  $R^n \mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  of a (covariant) functor  $\mathcal{F}$  using injective resolutions and cohomologies instead. For example,  $R^0 \mathcal{F}(Q) = H^0(\mathbf{C}_Q)$  is the kernel of  $d^0: \mathcal{F}(J_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(J_1)$ .

Similarly one defines derived functors of contravariant functors.

**3.5.2.** We prove correctness of the definition of  $L_n \mathcal{F}$ , namely that  $(L_n \mathcal{F})(Q)$  doesn't depend on the choice of a projective resolution and  $(L_n \mathcal{F})(f)$  doesn't depend on the choice of  $(f_n)$  given by Lemma 3.4.2.

By Lemma 3.4.2 for projective resolutions

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{C} \quad \dots \longrightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} P_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} Q \longrightarrow 0, \\ \mathbf{C}' \quad \dots \longrightarrow P'_n \xrightarrow{\alpha'_n} P'_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P'_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha'_1} P'_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha'_0} Q' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$



and a morphism  $f: Q \rightarrow Q'$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & P_0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & Q & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & f_1 \downarrow & & f_0 \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & P'_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha'_1} & P'_0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha'_0} & Q' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with morphisms  $f_n: P_n \rightarrow P'_n$ . Suppose that morphisms  $g_n: P_n \rightarrow P'_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$  satisfy the same property of Lemma 3.4.2.

Denote  $P_{-1} = Q$ ,  $P_{-2} = 0$ ,  $P'_{-1} = Q'$ . Put  $\alpha_{-1} = 0$ ,  $g_{-1} = f_{-1} = f$ .

We claim that then there are morphisms  $s_n: P_n \rightarrow P'_{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq -2$  such that

$$g_n - f_n = \alpha'_{n+1} \circ s_n + s_{n-1} \circ \alpha_n, \quad n \geq -1.$$

Indeed, define  $s_{-2} = 0$ ,  $s_{-1} = 0$ . For inductive step assume that  $g_n - f_n = \alpha'_{n+1} \circ s_n + s_{n-1} \circ \alpha_n$ . Calculate the composition of  $h = g_{n+1} - f_{n+1} - s_n \circ \alpha_{n+1}$  and  $\alpha'_{n+1}$  using the expression for  $\alpha'_{n+1} \circ s_n$  given by the induction assumption:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'_{n+1} \circ h &= \alpha'_{n+1} \circ (g_{n+1} - f_{n+1}) - (g_n - f_n - s_{n-1} \circ \alpha_n) \circ \alpha_{n+1} \\ &= \alpha'_{n+1} \circ (g_{n+1} - f_{n+1}) - (g_n - f_n) \circ \alpha_{n+1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now 3.2.7 implies that there is a morphism  $s_{n+1}: P_{n+1} \rightarrow P'_{n+2}$  such that  $\alpha'_{n+2} \circ s_{n+1} = h = g_{n+1} - f_{n+1} - s_n \circ \alpha_{n+1}$ , so  $g_{n+1} - f_{n+1} = \alpha'_{n+2} \circ s_{n+1} + s_n \circ \alpha_{n+1}$ .

Now put  $d_n = \mathcal{F}(\alpha_n)$ ,  $d'_n = \mathcal{F}(\alpha'_n)$  for  $n > 0$  and  $d_0 = d'_0 = 0$ ;  $r_n = \mathcal{F}(s_n)$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $r_{-1} = 0$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}(g_n) - \mathcal{F}(f_n) = d'_{n+1} \circ r_n + r_{n-1} \circ d_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

If  $x$  is an  $n$ -cycle of  $\mathbf{C}$  (i.e.  $x \in \ker(d_n)$ ), then the difference

$$\mathcal{F}(g_n)(x) - \mathcal{F}(f_n)(x) = d'_{n+1} \circ r_n(x) + r_{n-1} \circ d_n(x) = d'_{n+1} \circ r_n(x)$$

belongs to the image of  $d'_{n+1}$ , i.e. it is an  $n$ -boundary in  $\mathbf{C}'$ . Thus, the morphism  $H_n(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_n(\mathbf{C}')$  induced by  $f_n$  coincides with the morphism induced by  $g_n$ .

This shows that  $(L_n \mathcal{F})(f)$  is well defined.

If

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{C} \quad \dots \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow P_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0, \\ \mathbf{C}' \quad \dots \longrightarrow P'_n \longrightarrow P'_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P'_1 \longrightarrow P'_0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

are two projective resolutions of  $Q$ , then by the previous results we have morphisms  $H_n(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_n(\mathbf{C}')$  and  $H_n(\mathbf{C}') \rightarrow H_n(\mathbf{C})$  both induced by the identity morphism of  $Q$ . The composition  $H_n(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_n(\mathbf{C}') \rightarrow H_n(\mathbf{C})$  should coincide with the identity morphism  $H_n(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_n(\mathbf{C})$  due to the previous arguments. Similarly the composition  $H_n(\mathbf{C}') \rightarrow H_n(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_n(\mathbf{C}')$  should coincide with the identity morphism of  $H_n(\mathbf{C}')$ . Hence  $H_n(\mathbf{C})$  is isomorphic to  $H_n(\mathbf{C}')$ . Thus,  $L_n \mathcal{F}(Q)$  doesn't depend on the choice of a projective resolution of  $Q$ .

### 3.5.3. Two important examples.

1) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the functor  $\mathcal{H}om(T, \cdot)$  defined in 2.5.5, the  $n$ th right derived functor  $R^n$  of the functor  $\mathcal{H}om(T, \cdot)$  defined in 2.5.5 is called the  $n$ th Ext-functor and denoted by  $\text{Ext}^n(T, \cdot)$  or, to specify the ring  $A$ , by  $\text{Ext}_A^n(T, \cdot)$ .

By 3.2.9 the covariant functor  $\mathcal{H}om(T, \cdot)$  is left exact, so if

$$0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow J_0 \longrightarrow J_1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

is an injective resolution, then the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(T, Q) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(T, J_0) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(T, J_1) \longrightarrow \dots$$

is exact and thus  $H^0(\mathbf{C}_Q)$  which is equal to the kernel of

$$d^0: \mathcal{F}(J_0) = \text{Hom}(T, J_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, J_1) = \mathcal{F}(J_1)$$

is isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(T, Q)$ . Thus,

$$\text{Ext}^0(T, Q) \simeq \text{Hom}(T, Q).$$

The  $n$ th right derived functor  $R^n$  of the (contravariant) functor  $\text{Hom}(\cdot, T)$  gives nothing new: using double cochain complexes one can prove that

$$R^n \text{Hom}(T, \cdot)(Q) = R^n \text{Hom}(\cdot, Q)(T).$$

2) For an object  $T$  define a functor  $(T \otimes, \cdot): \text{mod} - A \rightarrow \text{mod} - A$  by  $Q \rightarrow T \otimes Q$  and for a morphism  $f: Q \rightarrow R$  put  $(T \otimes, \cdot)(f): T \otimes Q \rightarrow T \otimes R$  as the module homomorphism induced by  $f$ . The  $n$ th left derived functor  $L_n$  of  $(T \otimes, \cdot)$  is called the  $n$ th Tor-functor and denoted  $\text{Tor}_n(T, \cdot)$  or  $\text{Tor}_n^A(T, \cdot)$ . One can check that  $\text{Tor}_0(T, Q) = T \otimes Q$  and

$$L_n(T \otimes, \cdot)(Q) \simeq L_n(\cdot, \otimes Q)(T).$$

#### 3.5.4. Theorem. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence. Let  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  be an additive functor, which means that it transforms the sum of morphisms into the sum of the images. Then there are long exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \longrightarrow L_n \mathcal{F}(T) \longrightarrow L_n \mathcal{F}(Q) \longrightarrow L_n \mathcal{F}(S) \longrightarrow L_{n-1} \mathcal{F}(T) \longrightarrow L_{n-1} \mathcal{F}(Q) \\ \longrightarrow L_{n-1} \mathcal{F}(S) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow L_0 \mathcal{F}(T) \longrightarrow L_0 \mathcal{F}(Q) \longrightarrow L_0 \mathcal{F}(S) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R^0 \mathcal{F}(T) \longrightarrow R^0 \mathcal{F}(Q) \longrightarrow R^0 \mathcal{F}(S) \longrightarrow R^1 \mathcal{F}(T) \longrightarrow R^1 \mathcal{F}(Q) \\ \longrightarrow R^1 \mathcal{F}(S) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow R^n \mathcal{F}(T) \longrightarrow R^n \mathcal{F}(Q) \longrightarrow R^n \mathcal{F}(S) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.4.3 there are projective resolutions

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \longrightarrow P'_1 \longrightarrow P'_0 \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow 0, \\ \dots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0, \\ \dots \longrightarrow P''_1 \longrightarrow P''_0 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

which form a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & P'_1 & \longrightarrow & P'_0 & \longrightarrow & T \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & P''_1 & \longrightarrow & P''_0 & \longrightarrow & S \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

By 2.5.6 and 3.2.7 we know that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(P'_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(P_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(P''_n) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbf{A} & \dots \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(P'_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(P'_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbf{B} & \dots \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(P_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(P_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbf{C} & \dots \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(P''_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(P''_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

is commutative and every column is exact, so the sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

By Theorem 2.7.3 we get now the first long sequence of this theorem.

### 3.5.5. Examples.

1) For an exact sequence  $0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0$  the sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(T, R) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(T, Q) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(T, S) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(T, R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(T, Q) \longrightarrow \dots$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(R, T) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(Q, T) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(S, T) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(R, T) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(Q, T) \longrightarrow \dots$$

are exact (the exactness in the first term follows from left exactness of  $\text{Hom}(T, \cdot)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\cdot, T)$ ).

So  $\text{Ext}^1$  measures how far  $\text{Hom}(\cdot, T)$  is from an exact functor.

From 3.2.10 we deduce that  $T$  is projective iff  $\text{Ext}^1(T, R) = 0$  for all objects  $R$ .

2) For an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$  the sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1(T, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1(T, Q) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1(T, S) \rightarrow T \otimes R \rightarrow T \otimes Q \rightarrow T \otimes S \rightarrow 0$$

is exact (the exactness in the last term follows from right exactness of  $(T \otimes, \cdot)$ ).

So  $\operatorname{Tor}_1$  measures how far  $(T \otimes, \cdot)$  is from an exact functor.